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## Motivation

- How can we accomplish communication in networks in an energy-efficient manner?
- We study this question for Radio Networks
- How do we measure energy efficiency?
- Assuming that the nodes transmit at a fixed power level:
- Total number of transmissions
- The number of transmissions (shots) for each node
- Give a limited budget of $k$ shots to each node
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## What is a Radio Network?

- A directed or undirected graph $\mathrm{G}=(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{E})$
- Each node corresponds to a transmitter-receiver device
- Node $v$ can send a message to node $u$ iff $(v, u) \in E$
- Synchronization: the nodes transmit only at discrete time units called steps 0

- In each step, a node can be either in receiving or transmitting mode


## Model of Communication

- Radio Broadcast: When node $v$ transmits message $m, m$ is delivered to all the neighbors of $v$

- Collision: If more than one neighbor of $u$ transmits, then $u$ receives no message and hears only noise


## Broadcasting with k shots

We study protocols with the following characteristics

- Broadcasting (one-to-all communication):
- A source node with a message $m$
- m must be distributed to all the nodes of the network
- Unknown Topology: nodes only know the total number of nodes $n$
- Energy Efficiency: each node is limited to transmitting at most $k$ times


## Oblivious Protocols

Obliviousness: the decision of whether to transmit during the next step does not depend on the transmission history

- An oblivious protocol can be viewed as a sequence of transmission sets

$$
\{2,3,5\},\{1\},\{7,1,5,2\},\{4\}, \ldots
$$

- When a node receives $m$ for the first time, it transmits during the first $k$ steps it appears in a transmission set


## Related Work

Deterministic broadcasting with an unlimited number of shots

- Lower Bound: $\Omega(n \cdot \log \mathrm{D})$ [Clementi et al., 2003]
- Upper Bound: $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n} \cdot \log \mathrm{n} \cdot \log \log \mathrm{n})$ [De Marco, 2008]

Randomized broadcasting with an unlimited number of shots

- A matching Upper and Lower Bound:
$\Theta\left(D \cdot \log (n / D)+\log ^{2} n\right)$ [Alon et al., 1991], [Czumaj and Rytter, 2003], [Kowalski and Pelc, 2003]


## Related Work in k-shot

k-shot broadcasting in networks with known topology

- [Gasieniec et al., 2008]
- [Kantor, Peleg et al., 2009]

Randomized energy-efficient broadcasting in unknown networks

- [Berenbrink et al., 2009]


## Our Results

Lower Bounds:

- Any k-shot $(\mathrm{k}<\mathfrak{n})$ oblivious protocol needs $\Omega\left(\frac{\mathfrak{n}^{2}}{\mathrm{k}}\right)$ steps to complete broadcasting
- Any 1 -shot protocol needs $\Omega\left(\mathrm{n}^{2}\right)$ steps to complete broadcasting
Upper Bounds:
- There exists an oblivious algorithm which completes broadcasting in optimal time for $k \leqslant \sqrt{n}$ (and $O\left(n^{3 / 2}\right)$ steps for $k>\sqrt{n}$ )


## A Lower Bound for 1-Shot

## Theorem

Any 1-shot protocol needs $\Omega\left(\mathrm{n}^{2}\right)$ steps to complete broadcasting.

- Given a 1-shot protocol, we build a chain $S$, where at the $i$-th node the transmission of $m$ is delayed for at least $n-i$ steps
- Each node not in $S$ must transmit alone in order to avoid a conflict
- Why is a conflict undesirable?


## The Gadget

- Nodes $w_{i}$ and $w_{j}$ receive the message at step $T$ from $v_{S}$

- A conflict occurs when nodes $w_{i}$ and $w_{j}$ transmit simultaneously
- Since $v_{\mathrm{t}}$ has no other neighbors, $v_{\mathrm{t}}$ never gets the message
- Broadcasting would never succeed in this graph


## The General Lower Bound

## Theorem

Any oblivious $k$-shot $(\mathrm{k}<\mathrm{n})$ protocol needs $\Omega\left(\frac{\mathfrak{n}^{2}}{\mathrm{k}}\right)$ steps to complete broadcasting.


- Generalizing the argument for the 1 -shot case
- We construct the chain from subchains of $k$ nodes
- At the $i$-th subchain, the transmission of $m$ is delayed for at least n - i steps


## A Matching Upper Bound

## Theorem

$k$-shot broadcasting can be completed in $\mathrm{O}\left(\frac{\mathfrak{n}^{2}}{\mathrm{k}}\right)$ steps, for $\mathrm{k} \leqslant \sqrt{n}$
The algorithm is based on the algorithm of [Chlebus et al., 2000]

1. We define a family of sets (lines) with some nice properties
2. Based on lines, we construct the procedure Line-Transmit
3. We mix Line-Transmit with the standard Round-Robin procedure with the appropriate ratio

## Defining Lines

- Let $p$ be the smallest prime $\geqslant \sqrt{n}$
- Node $\mathfrak{i}$ is mapped to the point $\langle\mathfrak{i} \operatorname{div} p, i \bmod p\rangle$



## Definition

A line $L_{a, b}$ with direction $a$ and offset $b$ is the set

$$
\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b}}= \begin{cases}\{\langle x, y\rangle: x \equiv \mathrm{~b} \quad(\bmod p)\} & \text { if } a=p \\ \{\langle x, y\rangle: y \equiv a \cdot x+b \quad(\bmod p)\} & \text { else }\end{cases}
$$

## Properties of Lines

The following combinatorial properties hold:

1. Each line contains exactly $p$ nodes
2. The total number of distinct lines is $p \cdot(p+1)$
3. Each node belongs to $p+1$ lines, one in each direction
4. There are $p$ disjoint lines in each direction
5. Two lines of different directions have exactly one common node
6. For any two different nodes, there is exactly one line that contains both of them

## Line-Transmit

Lines as transmission sets


## Procedure Line-Transmit

for $i=1,2, \ldots$ do
for $a=0, \ldots, p$ do
a-Stage
for $b=0, \ldots, p-1$ do
Let all nodes in $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}}$ transmit end
end
end

## Round-Robin

The nodes transmit alone, one after the other


Procedure Round-Robin
for $i=1,2, \ldots$ do
for $v=1,2, \ldots, p^{2}$ do
| Let node $v$ transmit end
end

## A First Mixing

- Multiplex Round-Robin with Line-Transmit


Odd steps: Line-Transmit

- A node $v$ starts transmitting only after receiving $m$

1. Transmit for $k-1$ times at the odd steps where $v$ belongs to the transmitting line
2. Transmit once at the first even step with transmission set $\{v\}$

## A Better Mixing

- The previous algorithm is not oblivious
- Solution: Increase the ratio of Round-Robin steps to Line-Transmit steps to $K=p / k$
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## REMARKS

- The proof uses amortized analysis
- Our main contribution was to make the analysis flow when the k-shot restriction holds
- Collorary: The algorithm of [Chlebus et al., 2000] has the same time performance when we restrict the number of shots to $\sqrt{n}$
- We use the $\sqrt{n}$-shot algorithm to yield an $O\left(n^{3 / 2}\right)$-time protocol for $k$-shot broadcasting with $k>\sqrt{n}$


## Conclusions

- We provide the first results on deterministic $k$-shot broadcasting in radio networks of unknown topology
- We prove an energy-time tradeoff in broadcasting algorithms

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\# \text { shots } \times \# \text { steps }=\Theta\left(n^{2}\right), & k \leqslant \sqrt{n} \\
\# \text { shots } \times \# \text { steps }=\Omega\left(n^{2}\right), & k>\sqrt{n}
\end{array}
$$

- Open Questions
- match the lower bound for $k>\sqrt{n}$
- Lower bounds for adaptive $k$-shot broadcasting protocols

Thank You


[^0]:    Algorithm 3: Oblivious k-Shot
    for step $i=1,2, \ldots$ do
    perform a Line-Transmit step ; perform K Round-Robin steps;
    end

